



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,181	05/08/2001	Theodore F. Vaida	01-035	2728
24319	7590	07/15/2005	EXAMINER	
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 BARBER LANE MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA 95035			PHAN, TRI H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

UK

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/851,181	Applicant(s) VAIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner Tri H. Phan	Art Unit 2661	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 9-11, 13-17, 19, 23-25, 27-31, 33, 37-39, 41 and 42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4, 6-8, 12, 18, 20-22, 26, 32, 34-36 and 40 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/26/2005</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment/Arguments

1. This Office Action is in response to the Response/Amendment filed on April 13th, 2005. Claims 1-42 are now pending in the application.

Drawings

2. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on April 13th, 2005. These drawings are acceptable by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-3, 5, 9-11, 13-17, 19, 23-25, 27-31, 33, 37-39, and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Platko et al.** (U.S.6,363,444; hereinafter refer as '**Platko**') in view of **Chan et al.** (U.S.4,969,121; hereinafter refer as '**Chan**').

- Regarding claims 1, 15 and 29, **Platko** discloses in Figs. 1-7 and in the respective portions of the specification about the processing systems used in host systems ("*host system*")

Art Unit: 2661

such as personal computers and workstations; where the master/slave processors are embedded in the network interface card 'NIC' with complex 'ASIC' logic (*"application specific integrated circuit"*) and coupled to the memory via the memory data bus for controlling the reading, writing and transferring data among different processing elements (For example see Fig. 1; Abstract; col. 1, lines 21-50); wherein the NIC card comprises the processor 28 in the ASIC 16 connected to the electrically erasable programmable read only memory 26 'EEPROM' (*"programmable logic core"*); the media access control (*"MAC"*) logic 44 for interfacing the ASIC 16 (*"first portion"*) to the external PHY logic 24 (*"network interface subsystem"*); For example see Fig. 1) and processing control data (For example see col. 1, lines 35-50; col. 2, lines 17-30) via the DMA control logic (For example see col. 3, lines 46-49; col. 7, lines 44-48); and the PCI interface logic 42 for interfacing the ASIC 16 (*"second portion"*) to the external PCI bus 12 (*"data transmission subsystem"*) via the PCI interface logic 42 and transmitting/receiving data stored in the SRAM (*"memory device"*) in response to the host (For example see col. 4, lines 1-5; col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 2). **Platko** does disclose about the programmable logic with the electrically erasable programmable read only memory 'EEPROM', but fails to explicitly disclose *"array of configurable arithmetic logic units"*. However, such implementation is known in the art.

For example, **Chan** discloses in Figs. 1-4 and in the respective portions of the specification about the programmable integrated circuit logic array device using the EEPROM array (*"array of configurable arithmetic logic units"*); For example see Figs. 1-4; Abstract; col. 2, lines 47-55; col. 11, line 65 through col. 12, line 20); which can be programmed to perform various logic functions.

Thus it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the invention as taught by **Chan**, by implementing the EEPROM array into the **Platko**'s EEPROM, with the motivation being to improve the ability in performing more logic functions and being programmable to a greater degree as disclosed in **Chan**: col. 1, lines 41-45.

- In regard to claims 2, 16 and 30, in addition to features in base claims 1 and 29 (see rationales pertaining the rejection of base claims 1 and 29 discussed above), the combination of **Platko** and **Chan** further discloses about the left and right macrocells (*"first and second portions"*) with the EEPROM logic array (*"programmable logic core"*; For example see **Chan**: Figs. 1-3; col. 2, lines 33-55).

Thus it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the invention as taught by **Chan**, by implementing the EEPROM array into the **Platko**'s EEPROM, with the motivation being to improve the ability in performing more logic functions and being programmable to a greater degree as disclosed in **Chan**: col. 1, lines 41-45.

- Regarding claims 3, 17 and 31, the combination of **Platko** and **Chan** further discloses about the transferring control and data through the processor 28 (*"programmable logic core"*; For example see **Platko**: Fig. 1; col. 5, line 55 through col. 6, line 2) under the control of the host system disclosed in **Platko**: col. 4, lines 1-5.

- In regard to claims 5, 9, 19, 23, 33 and 37, the combination of **Platko** and **Chan** further discloses about the master and slave devices (*“master and slave devices”*; For example see **Platko**: Fig. 1; Abstract; col. 3, lines 61-67) in transferring data over the internal/external bus (*“master and slave bus”*; For example see **Platko**: Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 34-42; col. 8, lines 47-48).

- Regarding claims 10-11, 24-25 and 38-39, the combination of **Platko** and **Chan** further discloses about the DMA engines and datapath control logic are programmed for transferring control and data among the PCI interface logic, PCI bus, MAC, memory control and T Bus (*“DMA controller, data bus, control bus”*; For example see **Platko**: Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 46-49; col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 2).

- In regard to claims 13, 27 and 41, the combination of **Platko** and **Chan** further discloses about the FIFO buffer (*“first-in-first-out buffer”*) with data RAM 34 and instruction RAM 32 (For example see **Platko**: Figs. 1, 4; col. 7, lines 9-24).

- Regarding claims 14, 28 and 42, the combination of **Platko** and **Chan** further discloses about the network interface for connecting the system to the Ethernet network and controlling transmitted/received packet to/from the Ethernet (*“ethernet controller”*; For example see Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 14-18; col. 4, lines 26-46).

Response to Amendment/Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on April 13th, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regard to claims 1, 15 and 29, Applicant argues that the combination of **Platko** and **Chan** fails to disclose the application specific integrated circuit 'ASIC' that includes the programmable logic core. Examiner respectfully disagrees. **Platko** does disclose in Figs. 1-7 and in the respective portions of the specification about the processing systems used in host systems ("*host system*") such as personal computers and workstations; where the master/slave processors are embedded in the network interface card 'NIC' with complex 'ASIC' logic ("*application specific integrated circuit*") and coupled to the memory via the memory data bus for controlling the reading, writing and transferring data among different processing elements (For example see Fig. 1; Abstract; col. 1, lines 21-50); wherein the NIC card comprises the processor 28 in the ASIC 16 connected to the electrically erasable programmable read only memory 26 'EEPROM' ("*programmable logic core*"); the media access control ("*MAC*") logic 44 for interfacing the ASIC 16 ("*first portion*") to the external PHY logic 24 ("*network interface subsystem*"; For example see Fig. 1) and processing control data (For example see col. 1, lines 35-50; col. 2, lines 17-30) via the DMA control logic (For example see col. 3, lines 46-49; col. 7, lines 44-48); and the PCI interface logic 42 for interfacing the ASIC 16 ("*second portion*") to the external PCI bus 12 ("*data transmission subsystem*") via the PCI interface logic 42 and transmitting/receiving data stored in the SRAM ("*memory device*") in response to the host (For example see col. 4, lines 1-5; col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 2). It is also obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2661

time the invention was made to implement the off-chip EEPROM within the ASIC as custom design choices for minimizing the device cost, space and complexity by keeping pin counts relatively low as disclosed in **Platko**: col. 2, lines 11-16. Since it also has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *See In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. Therefore, Examiner concludes that combination of **Platko** and **Chan** teaches the arguable feature.

Chan discloses in Figs. 1-4 and in the respective portions of the specification about the programmable integrated circuit logic array device using the EEPROM array (“*array of configurable arithmetic logic units*”; For example see Figs. 1-4; Abstract; col. 2, lines 47-55; col. 11, line 65 through col. 12, line 20); which can be programmed to perform various logic functions. Thus, by implementing the **Chan**’s EEPROM array into the **Platko**’s EEPROM, with the motivation being to improve the ability in performing more logic functions and being programmable to a greater degree as disclosed in **Chan**: col. 1, lines 41-45. Therefore, Examiner concludes that combination of **Platko** and **Chan** teaches the arguable feature.

Claims 2-3, 5, 9-11, 13-14, 16-17, 19, 23-25, 27-28, 30-31, 33, 37-39 and 41-42 are rejected as in Part 3 above of this Office action and by virtue of their dependence from claims 1, 15 and 29.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2661

6. Claims 4, 6-8, 12, 18, 20-22, 26, 32, 34-36 and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri H. Phan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-3126.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2661

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



BRIAN NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tri H. Phan
July 12, 2005